28

1		
2		
3	:	*E-FILED: 7.19.2007*
4		
5		
6		
7	NOT FOR CITATION	
8	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	SANTANA ROW HOTEL PARTNERS, L.P.,	No. C05-00198 JW (HRL)
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT
13	v.	ZURICH'S REQUEST FOR FEES AND EXPENSES
14	ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, GALLAGHER-PIPINO, INC. and	
15	ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.,	[Re. Docket No. 342]
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Pursuant to this court's February 23, 2007 "Order Denying in Part Zurich American	
19	Insurance Company's Motion to Exclude Expert Disclosures" (Docket No. 252), defendant	
20	Zurich submitted the declaration of its counsel, asserting that it incurred over \$10,000 in	
21	additional fees and expenses because of Hamilton'	s belated disclosure. ¹ Plaintiff Santana Row
22	Hotel Partners filed a response stating its objection	s. Zurich later filed a supplemental
23	declaration. Upon consideration of the moving and	l responding papers, IT IS ORDERED
24	THAT:	
25		
26		
27		

Zurich's request for fees and expenses was untimely. The request was to have been submitted within three court days after the completion of Hamilton's deposition, which reportedly took place on June 22, 2007. However, no prejudice resulted from the fact that Zurich's submission was delayed by a few days.

1.	Plaintiff shall pay \$4,630.50 to Zurich for attorney's fees/costs incurred in
litigating Zu	rich's underlying motion; ²

2. Zurich's request for \$4361.00 for its counsel's "modified and expanded" deposition preparation is denied. These fees appear to have been the result of time spent studying information in Hamilton's new report. (See Jones Decl., ¶¶ 7-8). In its previous order, however, this court stated that it did "not believe that time spent preparing for Hamilton's deposition with respect to his new report will be time wasted." (February 23, 2007 Order, 6:14-15).

Dated: July 19, 2007

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Although Zurich did not submit evidence supporting its counsel's assertions as to the reasonableness of the stated hourly rate, this court is well familiar with the range of rates customarily charged by attorneys practicing before it, and the claimed rate is actually at the low end of the range for cases of this complexity and magnitude.

1	5:05-cv-198 Notice will be electronically mailed to:
2	Ron Howard Burnovski rburnovski@jonesturner.com
3	Steven A. Ellenberg sellenberg@rubyschofield.com, mjones@rubyschofield.com
4	Alan Michael Jones ajones@jonesturner.com, jgallagher@jonesturner.com
5	Curtis Richard Ogilvie cogilvie@selvinwraith.com, kmcandrews@selvinwraith.com
6	Allen Ruby aruby@rubyschofield.com
7	Glen William Schofield gschofield@rubyschofield.com, bug@rubyschofield.com
8	Gary Robert Selvin gselvin@selvinwraith.com, kshahin@selvinwraith.com
9	Steven Donald Turner sturner@jonesturner.com, htornay@jonesturner.com
10	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.
11	not registered for e-timing under the court's CIVILOI program.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	